

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/697,179

Applicant(s)

MITAMURA ET AL.

Examiner

Krystyna Suchecki

Art Unit

2882

All Participants:(1) Krystyna Suchecki.(2) John Garvey.**Status of Application:** 1st action after RCE

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 17 May 2004**Time:** 10:00 am**Type of Interview:**☒ Telephonic☐ Video Conference☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Original claims 8-13

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)_____
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner noted a restriction error and indicated that had original claims 8-13 been restricted with claim 1 as a linking claim, original claims 8-13 could be rejoined at this point in the prosecution. Since claims 8-13 were cancelled without traverse, Examiner requested a supplemental amendment to return the subject matter of original claims 8-13 to the application.